

**COURT No. 2, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL,**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**  
**(Through Virtual Hearing)**

23.

**MA 2766/2021 in OA 750/2021**

**Ex Sep (GD) Mayank Oli**

**.....Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For Applicant : Mr. Narender Kaushik, Advocate**

**For Respondents : Mr. Neeraj, Sr.CGSC**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA, MEMBER (J)**

**HON'BLE VICE ADMIRAL P.MURUGESAN, MEMBER (A)**

**ORDER**

**30.11.2021**

**MA 2766/2021**

Vide this application under Rule 16(2) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008, applicant seeks restoration of the OA which was dismissed for non-prosecution on 23.09.2021.

Counsel for the respondents opposes the application. However, in the interest of justice and keeping in view the fact that the case is at its initial stage. Application is allowed. OA is restored to its original number and position subject to deposit of Rs.2000/- as costs which be deposited with AFT Bar Association within seven days.

MA stands disposed off.

**OA 750/2021**

Applicant has placed on record the impugned order annexure 'A' dated 18.03.2019 and 14.07.2019. However, both are the typed copies. He is directed to place on record the original of these documents because according to the applicant, his

representation was rejected by the respondents vide impugned order dated 14.07.2019. However, para 1 of this letter goes to show that it is referring to a representation dated 15.04.2020 filed by the applicant. How could representation dated 15.04.2020 be decided on 14.07.2019.

Counsel seeks time to place on record the original documents.

Relist on **13.01.2022**.

(JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA)  
MEMBER (J)

(VICE ADMIRAL P.MURUGESAN)  
MEMBER (A)

nk/ap